

Appendix C – Categorisation example

- 1.1 **Current position:** A parish has identified a section of road that is difficult to cross and also has high speeds. The aspiration is to reduce speeds and improve crossing.
- 1.2 *The Highway Authority undertakes feasibility and identifies a history of accidents including one that relates to speeding. There are no recorded incidents of pedestrians being involved in collisions. As such the engineer proposes measures to reduce speeds that will address the speeding issues, and as a consequence improve crossing opportunities, at one key location dropped kerbs are proposed.*
- 1.3 The HTWG on review identifies that the request was specifically for traffic calming and a pedestrian refuge and should be further reviewed.
- 1.4 In the past, this has led to delays and concerns about HTWG delivery.
- 1.5 **Proposed Position:** The parish identifies the same concern.
- 1.6 *The Highway Authority undertakes feasibility and identifies measures and categorises them as necessary and beneficial. The speed reduction works are necessary to reduce speeds to those appropriate for the marked speed limit. This enforces the 3 E's of Education, Engineering and the last resort of enforcement. Any scheme promoted will lead to next steps of recommending for enforcement.*
- 1.7 *The Highway Authority also identifies that the pedestrian refuge would have some benefit in this location, but is not absolutely necessary to deliver a safe and suitable highways arrangement.*
- 1.8 *The report identifies that the necessary works can be delivered for £15,000 and the beneficial works would increase the scheme cost to £35,000.*
- 1.9 *As such, in terms of the evidence base the engineer reports that only the necessary works are recommended at this time and offer good value in terms of accident savings and the other works whilst beneficial can be delivered via alternative mechanisms such as development funding / CIL.*
- 1.10 This additional information will help officers to explain recommendations to the HTWG, highlighting the need to identify schemes that address evidence based issues as a first priority.